

Doyle S. Byers, #11440
Cory A. Talbot, #11477
Engels J. Tejada, #11427
Michelle Quist, #13559
HOLLAND & HART LLP
222 S. Main Street, Suite 2200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 799-5800
Facsimile: (801) 799-5700
DSByers@hollandhart.com
CATalbot@hollandhart.com
EJTejada@hollandhart.com
MLQuist@hollandhart.com

Attorneys for David K. Broadbent as Court-Appointed Receiver

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, and
UTAH DIVISION OF CONSUMER
PROTECTION,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZURIXX, LLC, a Utah limited liability
company, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF
JUDGMENT AGAINST EFRON
DORADO, S.E. AND DAVID EFRON**

Case No. 2:19-cv-00713

Judge Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(b)(1) and (d), David K. Broadbent, the Court-appointed receiver (the “Receiver”), respectfully requests that judgment be set out in a separate document with respect to the Order Granting Attorney Fees and Costs Incurred Related to Contempt Orders Against Efron Dorado S.E. and David Efron (Doc. No. 348) (the “Order”).

[ECF No. 356.]

On November 8, 2021, the Court issued its Memorandum Decision and Order [ECF No. 334] (the “Second Contempt Order”) on the Receiver’s Second Motion for Order Holding Efron Dorado, S.E. and David Efron in Contempt of Court and for Civil Sanctions [ECF No. 213]. In its Second Contempt Order, the Court directed the Receiver to “file documents demonstrating those fees and costs within thirty days of the date of this Order.” The Receiver filed a Fee Statement on December 8, 2021. [ECF No. 348.]

The Court filed its Order on January 7, 2022. The Order granted fees in the amount certain of \$67,615.85 and costs in the amount of \$208.40 against Efron Dorado, S.E. and David Efron, jointly and severally, pursuant to the Court’s Second Contempt Order.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(b)(1) provides that “the clerk must, without awaiting the court’s direction, promptly prepare, sign, and enter the judgment when ... (B) the court awards ... a sum certain.” Rule 58(d) allows a party to “request that judgment be set out in a separate document as required by Rule 58(a).” Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(d). The Receiver hereby requests that judgment for fees in the amount of \$67,615.85 and costs in the amount of \$208.40 be set out in a judgment against Efron Dorado, S.E. and David Efron, jointly and severally.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of January 2022.

HOLLAND & HART, LLP

/s/ Michelle Quist

Doyle S. Byers

Cory A. Talbot

Engels J. Tejeda

Michelle Quist

*Attorneys for David K. Broadbent as the
Court-Appointed Receiver*