

Robert Anguizola (ranguizola@ftc.gov)
Joshua Doan (jdoan@ftc.gov)
Amanda Grier (agrier@ftc.gov)
Thomas Harris (tharris1@ftc.gov)
(Each appearing pursuant to DUCivR 83-1.1(d)(1))
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, CC-8602
Washington DC, 20580
Tel: (202) 326-3187
Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission

Robert G. Wing (4445) (rwing@agutah.gov)
Thomas M. Melton (4999) (tmelton@agutah.gov)
Joni Ostler (9607) (joniostler@agutah.gov)
Kevin McLean (16101) (kmclean@agutah.gov)
Assistant Attorneys General
Utah Attorney General's Office
160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
Tel: (801) 366-0310
Attorneys for Plaintiff Utah Division of Consumer Protection

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION and
UTAH DIVISION OF CONSUMER
PROTECTION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ZURIXX, LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
INTERVENORS' OBJECTION TO
MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
MEMORANDUM DECISION AND
ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
INTERVENE**

Case No. 2:19-cv-00713-DAK-DAO


District Judge Dale A. Kimball
Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

This matter is before the Court on proposed intervenors' Rule 72(a) Objection [ECF No. 319] to the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum Decision and Order Denying Motion to Intervene [ECF No. 314]. The Court concludes that a hearing would not significantly aid the Court's

determination of the Objection. Having considered the Objection, the Memorandum Decision and Order, the briefing underlying the motion to intervene, and the relevant law and facts, the Court hereby DENIES proposed intervenors' Objection to the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum Decision and Order Denying Motion to Intervene.

DATED this 28th day of September, 2021.

BY THE COURT:


DALE A. KIMBALL,
United States District Judge