

Sam Meziani (9821)
GOEBEL ANDERSON PC
405 South Main Street, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 441-6170
smeziani@gapclaw.com

Maurice R. Mitts (*pro hac vice application forthcoming*)
MITTS LAW, LLC
1822 Spruce Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 866-0110 phone
(215) 866-0111 fax
mmitts@mittslaw.com
Attorneys for Proposed Intervenors

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZURIXX, LLC *et al.*,

Defendants.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Case No: 2:19-CV-00713-DAK-DAO

District Judge Dale A. Kimball
Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

Proposed Intervenors Students of Zurixx (“Intervenors”), through counsel and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), move for an extension of time to object to the Memorandum Decision and Order Denying Motion to Intervene, and state the following in support:

1. On August 10, 2021, the court issued its Memorandum Decision and Order Denying Motion to Intervene (Dkt. 314) (“Order”).

2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a), objections to the Order must be filed within 14 days, or by August 24, 2021.
3. Counsel for Intervenors have been occupied with deadlines in other matters including:
 - a. August 23, 2021 deadline to respond to a motion to compel in *Inexian Corp. v. Venable LLP*, Case No. 24-C-21-000200, Circuit Court, Baltimore City, Maryland.
 - b. August 23, 2021 deadline to respond to an application to strike appeal in *Iron Hill Co. v. Cairone Construction Company, LLC*, No. 513 EDA 2021, Pennsylvania Superior Court.
 - c. Summary judgment arguments and trial preparation in *Prism Group Inc. v. Slingshot Technologies Corporation et al.*, No. 2014-04291-A, Middlesex Superior Court, Massachusetts.
 - d. Trial preparation in *U.S. v. West*, 18-cr-76 (D. Utah)
4. The 11-page order addresses standing; intervention as a matter of right, with its analysis of timeliness and adequate representation; and permissive intervention. The Order is sufficiently detailed and complex as to require a commensurate investment of time to adequately respond.
5. The importance of this issue for Intervenors weighs in favor of giving counsel additional time.
6. An extension of time will not prejudice the other parties. A hearing on motions for summary judgment and to modify the preliminary injunction order is scheduled for October 6, 2021. See (Dkt. 315). Allowing Intervenors additional time to file an objection will not interfere with the current schedule.

7. Based on the foregoing, there is good cause to extend the deadline to file an objection to the order by 7 days to August 31, 2021, and Intervenor respectfully request the court allow the requested extension.

DATED: August 21, 2021.

/s/ Sam Meziani
Sam Meziani

MITTS LAW, LLC

/s/ Maurice R. Mitts
Maurice R. Mitts, Esq.
(*pro hac vice application forthcoming*)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 20TH day of August, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which sent notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Sam Meziani _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZURIXX, LLC *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME**

Case No: 2:19-CV-00713-DAK-DAO

District Judge Dale A. Kimball
Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg

Proposed Intervenors' Motion for an Extension of Time is before the Court. For the reasons set forth in the motion and for good cause appearing, the motion is GRANTED.

Proposed Intervenors have until August 31, 2021 to file an objection to the Memorandum Decision and Order Denying Motion to Intervene (Dkt. 314). IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this ____ day of August, 2021.

BY THE COURT

Magistrate Judge Daphne A. Oberg